

# **PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi)

## **APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

---

**Date of Hearing :** 20.09.2018

**Date of Decision :** 20.09.2018

**Applicant :** Sh. Sunil Kumar Sharma

**Respondent :** Dy. Commissioner (City SP Zone)  
North DMC

**Appeal No :** 909/2017

**Appeal filed on :** 19.02.2018

**DRTI Application filed on :** 30.12.2017

**Competent Authority replied on :** No response

### **1. Background**

Sh. Sunil Kumar Sharma, the appellant vide DRTI application dated 30.12.2017 has sought information from Deputy Commissioner (Civil Lines Zone), North DMC / the Competent Authority notified under the DRTI Act-2001, on total 12 counts.

The appellant did not receive any information from the Competent Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (Civil Lines Zone), North DMC. Hence, he filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority, Public Grievances Commission under Section 7 of DRTI Act -2001.

### **2. Proceedings**

The applicant is present and heard.

Shri Deepak Kumar, APhi, City SP Zone, North DMC, is present on behalf of the Competent Authority. He informed that although the appellant had filed his application in Civil Lines Zone, North DMC, but now the area stands transferred to City SP Zone, North DMC and hence, the reply is being furnished by the City SP Zone, North DMC. He submitted a reply dated 19/09/2018 (in duplicate), a copy of which was handed over to the appellant during the hearing today.

The information furnished by the department has been perused. It is observed that the replies have not been furnished under the signatures of the Competent Authority. The department has provided satisfactory replies to the queries of the appellant except for query no. 7, in which the appellant has sought the name of the Health Inspector. The department has replied that the Health Inspectors are being changed from time to time. This reply is vague and not satisfactory, in

light of the fact that this area has been transferred to City SP Zone, North DMC only one year back. Therefore, Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, shall file a revised reply informing the name of the Health Inspectors incharge of this area from the time this area has come under the jurisdiction of the City SP Zone, North DMC, to till date.

During the course of hearing, the appellant mentioned that this *dhaba* is running in front of shop no. 1491 near Ritz Cinema and on the main road without any proper shop / premises. This allegation should be verified and if found true, then strict action as per DMC Act, should be taken against the violator.

### **3. Decision**

The Competent Authority viz. Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, is directed to provide a revised reply to all the queries in general and in respect of point no. 7 in particular, as discussed above since the initial reply does not bear the signatures of the Competent Authority.

The Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, is also advised that the site may be got inspected, and as alleged by the appellant that the *dhaba* is functioning on the main road without any premises, then necessary action must be initiated against the owner of the *dhaba*.

The revised reply should be sent within 10 days of issue of this order, under the signatures and stamp of the Competent Authority, with a copy endorsed to this Commission.

**With the above direction, the appeal stands disposed.**

**(ASHOK KUMAR)**  
CHAIRMAN,  
PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION

#### **Copy to :**

1. Ms. Ruchika Katyal,  
Deputy Commissioner  
(City SP Zone), North DMC,  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Old Hindu College  
Building, Nigam Bhawan,  
Kashmere Gate, Delhi – 110 006.
2. Shri Sunil Kumar Sharma